Finding of a Departure from Academic Integrity (Finding form)



Note to student: It is important that you thoroughly review ALL information included on this form. Note that there is a second page.

Student Name:	Student Number:	Date:	
Course number and name:	Instructor name and email:	Value of work (% of total course mark):	
Type of work submitted for credit:			
A. Following the Notice of Investigation of a possible Departure from Academic Integrity (DFAI), dated, I have conducted a thorough investigation and concluded that there is sufficient evidence that you engaged in a departure from academic integrity, as described below:			
☐ Plagiarism	☐ Unauthorize	d use of intellectual property	
☐ Contract cheating ☐ Unauthorized collaboration			
☐ Use of unauthorized materials ☐ Failure to abide by academic rules			
☐ Falsification ☐ Departure from the core values of academic		om the core values of academic	
☐ Forgery/use of forged materials integrity ☐ Facilitation			
_ ruementon			
B. The evidence on which I base this decision includes the following: Statements made/evidence presented at the meeting dated, attended by the following persons: Your written response dated (attached to this email) Documentary evidence provided with the Notice of Investigation (attached to this email) Other documentation considered (attached to this email).			
c. The reason(s) for my decision is as follows.			
D. Remedy/Sanctions Imposed for this Departure from Academic Integrity:			
OR I refer this case to the FEAS Academic Integrity Lead (Associate Dean, Academic), for a decision on a remedy/sanction, because There is a record of a previous finding of a DFAI. The incident is serious The student's home faculty is different from this course faculty			
The Academic Integrity Administrator will contact you regarding this in the near future.			

D. Level of Departure (for definitions see 3.4.3) ☐ This is a LEVEL I DFAI. ☐ This is a LEVEL II DFAI.		
 Records are kept of each investigation that concludes with a Finding of a DFAI. Level I: The documentation related to a Level I investigation is NOT added to the FEAS Official file for the student. However, this documentation is included in a separate file in the FEAS Faculty office, which is maintained solely for the purpose of Academic Integrity record keeping. These records are destroyed upon the student's graduation. Level II: The relevant documents are added to the FEAS Official File of the student. These records are destroyed 10 years after the student's graduation. Records of Academic Integrity investigations are not kept by the instructor, by the department, or in any other files maintained by the FEAS. DFAI findings are typically not included in the student's transcript. 		
F. The student's Right to Appeal		
A full description of the appeal process is described in full on the FEAS website, and also on the University.		
A student may appeal:		
 The finding of a departure from academic integrity The remedy/sanction Both the finding AND the remedy/sanction 		
Students wishing to submit an appeal must do so within 10 business days of receiving the Finding Form from the instructor (for a Level I decision) or within business 10 days of receiving the remedy/sanction decision from the Associate Dean (Academic) (for a Level II decision).		
 Grounds for an appeal are limited to the following: The decision-maker failed to act in accordance with the rules of procedural fairness, The decision-maker acted without, or exceeded their, jurisdiction. 		
Appeals to a DFAI finding, or remedy/sanction, or both, must be submitted to the Associate Dean (Academic) within 10 days of receiving the remedy/sanction. Please contact engineering.dfai@queensu.ca for assistance with the procedure and forms.		
Decision maker's Name and Title		
Decision maker's Email Address		
Decision maker's Role	☐ Course Instructor ☐ Delegated Decision Maker ☐ AI Lead *	

Signature/Date

^{*}Because of the complexity and/or severity, this case was referred by the instructor to the Associate Dean Academic (FEAS Academic Integrity Lead), who acted as the decision maker